

Least-Cost Path Analysis was used to determine the alignment of possible highway bypasses for Hastings using the Spatial Analyst extension to ArcGIS. The steps in this analysis were as follows:

1: Reclassify selected raster data to a common subjective scale representing the "cost" of construction a highway through each raster cell.

2: Using map algebra, create a total cost raster for the study area by adding the cost of each data set together.

3: Create Cost-Weighted distance and direction rasters from a common end-point for the highway bypasses.

4: Create a least-cost path from the endpoint to selected start points for each bypass, using the cost-weighted distance and direction rasters from the previous step.

Highway Planning Using Raster Data **Designing a Bypass for Hastings, Minnesota**

Andrew Munsch, Department of Geography, University of Minnesota

Creating the Cost Raster All data used for the analysis had a 30 meter resolution, and were reclassified with a common cost scale of 1 to 10, with 10 representing the greatest construction cost. In this case, the term "cost" is a subjective one, since it incorporates not only actual monetary costs, but also certain value judgments. **Total Cost Raster** After the data was reclassified using a common cost scale, Cost the costs were added together to create the total cost raster for the least-cost path analysis. Note the high cost Low:2 values clustered around the areas of high relief and open water in and around the Mississippi River, plus the sudden jump of costs in and around the city of Hastings. See below for the component parts of the total cost from each data set used in the analysis. **Percent Slope** Percent slope was derived from a USGS 30-meter Cost resolution Digital Elevation Model (DEM). MNDOT construction standards give a 3 5% grade as the max. for a 70 mph hwy. Slope: 0-5%, Cost: 1 Slope: 5-8%, Cost: 3 Slope: 8-25%, Cost: 7 Slope: 25-75%, Cost: 10 and the starting

Land Cover Cost

<mark>╴┾╾╸╸_┥╞╱╴╶╴╸┑┥╶╴┝╶┹┱╋┱╸╘</mark>

Twin Cities Metro Hybrid Land Cover, created by the DNR from the U of M 2000 Landsat land cover data by adding data from other sources (wetlands, roads, etc).

Cost Values:

- 1: Agricultural, grassland,
- shrubland, emergent veg.
- 5: Forests
- 6: Wetland Shrubs 7: Wetland Forest, Mudflats
- 8: Impervious (developed) 10: Open Water

MUSA 2030 Growth and Natural Resource Areas



MUSA 2030 blueprint growth areas represent areas slated for development. The 2030 framework natural resource areas are rated on a three value scale. The map at left shows these values combined.

Cost Values: MUSA 2030 Growth Area: 10 **MUSA Nat. Resource Areas:** 8: Outstanding 5: Excellent

3: Moderate



either side. The south bypass seems to try to avoid wider areas of impervious land cover along the roads to the south of Hastings.

MUSA 2030 Growth and Natural Resource Areas

Least-Cost Path Natural Resource Areas - Valu Exceptional High Moderate **IUSA 2030**

The east bypass avoided the

natural resource area in the valley to the southeast of Hastings, and instead picked an area of the "exceptional" natural resource area that featured a few gaps and incongruities. Both resulting paths avoid the MUSA 2030 area entirely.



Sources and Contact Info

Data Sources:

Minnesota DNR Data Deli http://deli.dnr.state.mn.us/

Metro GIS Datafinder: http://www.datafinder.org/

Minnesota Department of Transportation, Transportation Data & Analysis Basemap http://www.dot.state.mn.us/tda/basemap/index.html

Information Sources:

Brad Dragan, Scott Plenderleith, and Kyle Waldner. "Assessing the Impacts of Three Possible Highways Routes Between Kitchener and Guelph Using a GIS Based Model http://www.geography.uoguelph.ca/research/geog4480_w2005/Group12/index.htm

West, James R. "Improving Highway Planning with the Help of GIS" http://gis2.esri.com/library/userconf/proc99/proceed/papers/pap320/p320.htm **Contact Info:**

Andrew Munsch, Department of Geography, University of Minnesota Email: munsc005@umn.edu Phone: 651-402-2545